

INSTITUTE FOR ANIMAL HEALTH

Acting Director: Professor Martin W Shirley, PhD

Acting Head of Laboratory:
Dr C Oura BVetMed, MSc, PhD MRCVS

PIRBRIGHT LABORATORY
Ash Road, Pirbright
Surrey GU24 0NF
Tel: Worplesdon 01483 232441
Fax: 01483 232448
http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk

FAX TRANSMISSION

ГО:	FROM:	
		Head: WRL for FMD

DATE: 28.3.2006 **FAX NO**:

PAGES: 2 **RE:** Test results

Dear

Following our earlier report on strain differentiation results for serotype A FMD virus isolates received from Egypt on 19th February 2006, we have now tested those isolates against further vaccine strains and report our findings as follows.

The following r₁ values were recently obtained by ELISA and VNT at the WRL.

r ₁ Values by ELISA							
Ref. No	A KEN 35/80	A IRN 87	A IRN 01	A SAU 95			
A EGY 1/06	0.38	0.23	0.33	0.42*			
A EGY 2/06	0.38	0.14	0.35	0.50*			
r ₁ Values by VNT							
A EGY 1/06	0.25	Not tested	0.28	0.32			
A EGY 2/06	0.16	Not tested	0.24	0.30			

^{*} These results were shown in the earlier report.

The Institute is grant-aided by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council. It is a company limited by guarantee, registered in England No.559784 Registered Office: Compton, Berks RG20 7NN. Charity Commission Reference Number 228824

tel: 0131 667 5204 fax: 0131 668 3872

Since the best results were obtained with SAU 95 by ELISA, tests are currently being undertaken using SAU 95 for VNT.

Interpretation of r₁ values

In the case of ELISA:

 $r_1 = 0.4-1.0$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

 $r_1 = 0.2$ -0.39, Suggests that the field isolate is antigenically related to the vaccine strain. The vaccine strain might be suitable for use if no closer match can be found provided that a potent vaccine is used and animals are preferably immunised more than once.

 $r_1 = <0.2$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect

In the case of neutralisation:

 $r_1 = \ge 0.3$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

 $r_1 = < 0.3$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

Yours sincerely

Head: World Reference Laboratory for FMD

c.c.

