FAX TRANSMISSION

TO: FROM: Head: WRL for FMD

DATE: 19.11.01 **FAX NO:**

PAGES: 2 **RE:** Test results

Dear

Thank you for submitting the 8 samples (sera and tissues) for FMD diagnosis. We have now completed the serotyping investigation with the results as attached.

Your Reference	WRL Reference	Description of Sample	Serotyping Result by ELISA	Remarks
FMDV strain O		~ mmpre	Tiosaic ay Ediam	Direct antigen ELISA
(Abkhazia, 2000)	ABK 1/2000	Bovine	O	positive. Positive after
		BAK 3 GLYC		passage in BTY and
				IB-RS-2 cells
FMDV strain Asia 1				Direct antigen ELISA
(Georgia, 2000)	GRG 1/2000	Bovine	O	positive. Positive after
		$PCGK_1$		passage in BTY and
				IB-RS-2 cells
FMDV strain Asia 1		_		Direct antigen ELISA
(Georgia, 2001)	GRG 1/2001	Bovine	Asia 1	positive. Positive after
		Epithelium		passage in BTY and
				IB-RS-2 cells
FMDV type O				Direct antigen ELISA
(Petrovski, Moscow	USS 1/95	Porcine	О	positive. Negative
1995)		Epithelium		after two passages in
				both BTY and IB-RS-
				2 cells.

Your Reference	WRL Reference	Description of Sample	Serotyping Result by ELISA	Remarks
FMDV type O (Primorski, Russia 2000)	USS 1/2000	Porcine Epithelium	O O	Direct antigen ELISA positive. Positive after one passage in BTY and IB-RS-2
FMDV type A (Amenia, 1998)	ARM 1/98	Bovine Epithelium	A	Direct antigen ELISA positive. Positive after one passage in BTY and IB-RS-2
FMDV type A (Kirghizia, 1999)	KRG 1/99	Bovine BAK 4 GLYC	A	Direct antigen ELISA positive. Positive after one passage in BTY and IB-RS-2
FMDV type O (Mongolia, 2000)	MOG 1/2000	Bovine PSGK3 GLYC	A	Direct antigen ELISA positive. Positive after one passage in BTY and IB-RS-2

There seems to be a discrepancy between some of our results and the descriptions for the samples that you gave us. The 2000 sample you sent us from Georgia (our reference GRG 1/2000) appears to be type O FMDV rather than type Asia 1. The Mongolian sample from 2000 (our reference MOG 1/2000) appears to be type A rather than type O. We have reconfirmed our results on these two samples by repeat assays, so I would be interested to know if you can think of any reason for this discrepancy?

Yours sincerely

Head: World Reference Laboratory for FMD